

UNITED STATES DEARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

DATE MAILED:

Washington, D.C. 20231

(0)4

03/02/00

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE PHB-33946A G 07/28/97 KEESMAN 08/901,338 **EXAMINER** LM12/0302 RAO, A LAURIE E GATHMAN PAPER NUMBER U & PHILIPS CORPORATION ART UNIT 580 WHITE PLAINS ROAD 2713 TARRYTOWN NY 10591

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/901,338

Keesman

Examiner

Anand Rao

Group Art Unit 2713



TH	E P	PERI	OD F	OR R	ESPO	NSE:	[check	conly	a) or	b)]													
	a)	X	expire	es	5_	mor	ths fron	n the m	nailing	date d	of the fir	nal rej	ection.										
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whicheve is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.											er											
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.																						
							onths f bove, v) (or	within	any	
							al rejec appli								_ has	beer	cons	idere	d with	the f	ollowi	ng effec	t,
X	The	е рг	pose	ed ame	endme	nt(s):																	
		wil	I be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.																				
will not be entered because:																							
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).																						
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).																						
 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims 												·	fying th	ie									
				preser	nt addi	tional	claims	with	out ca	ance	lling a	corr	espon	iding r	numbe	er of fi	nally r	ejecte	d clair	ns.			
		NOT		the addition of the "decoder buffer" limitations in claims 1 and 5 would require further search and or consideration would further search/consideration and will not be entered.												_							
				consid	deratio	n wou	ild furti	ner se	earch	/cons	sidera	tion a	and wi	II not	be ent	ered.							-
		Ap	plicar	nt's res	sponse	has	overco	me th	e foll	owin	g reje	ction	(s):									,	_
	Ne se	ewly para	prope	osed o	or ame iled an	nded nendn	claims nent ca	ncelli	ing th	ie no	n-allo	wable	e clair	ns.			wo	uld be	allow	able if	f subm	itted in a	-
				it, exhi		eque	st for re	econs	sidera	ition	has be	een d	consid	ered b	out do	es NC)T plad	ce the	applic	ation	in con	dition	_
					chibit w			onsid	lered	beca	ause it	t is no	ot dire	cted S	SOLEL	 -Y to i	ssues	which	were	newly	y raised	d by the	-
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):																						
	Claims allowed:														_								
Claims objected to:										·-				_									
	Cla	aims	s reje	cted: _	<u>1-12 a</u>	<u>nd 14</u>															 -		_
	Th	e pr	opos	ed dra	wing c	orrec	tion file	ed on						☐ ha	as 🗌	has n	ot bee	en app	roved	by the	e Exam	niner.	
	No	te ti	ne att	ached	Inform	natior	Disclo	sure	State	men	ıt(s), F	PTO-1	1449,	Paper	· No(s))		······································	ANE	Y RA	QUINF	R	
		her																PR	MAR'	Y	MINE		
_																			//				
																			<i>ر</i> F		AND R	AO MINER	

ART UNIT 2713